REMARKS

The reply filed on September 28, 2007, is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because Applicant has not pointed out the specific distinctions believed to render newly added claims 45-47 patentable over any applied references.

Claim 45 defines an enhanced compiler "*operate to create an interceptor using a specification provided by the annotated source code, wherein the interceptor is capable of allowing at least one user-defined pre or post processing method to be applied to a message from the client.*" Assuming Beged-Dov teaches the interceptor, it is not obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the annotated source code and enhanced compiler to implement interceptor for a network-accessible service which is beyond the scope of merely incorporating an interceptor. Therefore, claim 45 should be in allowable condition. Claims 46-47 are similarly amended as in claim1. Hence, claims 46-47 should also be in allowable condition.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date:___12/17/2007___   By:_____/Kuiran (Ted) Liu/_____
                                         Kuiran (Ted) Liu
                                         Reg. No. 60,039

Customer No. 23910
FLEISLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, Fourteenth Floor
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 362-3800